

The six-vertex model at roots of unity and some highest weight representations of the sl_2 loop algebra

Tetsuo Deguchi *

Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University,
2-1-1 Ohtsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

Abstract

We discuss irreducible highest weight representations of the sl_2 loop algebra and reducible indecomposable ones in association with the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the six-vertex model at roots of unity. We formulate an elementary proof that every highest weight representation with distinct evaluation parameters is irreducible. We present a general criteria for a highest weight representation to be irreducible. We also give an example of a reducible indecomposable highest weight representation and discuss its dimensionality.

1 Introduction

Roots of unity representations of the quantum groups have many subtle and interesting properties, as was first discussed by Roche and Arnaudon [19, 7]. They should have significant implications in integrable systems. In fact, the roots of unity representation theory has been utilized to generalize the R matrices of the chiral Potts model [6]. There have been several approaches to connect roots of unity representations to the six-vertex model and the XXZ spin chain [1, 18]. These studies could be fundamental and should contain useful suggestions for future researches, since the six-vertex model is one of the most important exactly solvable models in statistical mechanics. Recently, it was shown that the six-vertex model at roots of unity has many spectral degeneracies which are associated with the sl_2 loop algebra [10]. Furthermore, it has been found that the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry is closely related to roots of unity representations that are discussed by Lusztig [16, 4].

Let us introduce the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the six-vertex model at roots of unity. It was explicitly shown that when q is a root of unity the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model commutes with the generators of the sl_2 loop algebra [10]. Let q_0 be a

*e-mail deguchi@phys.ocha.ac.jp

primitive root of unity satisfying $q_0^{2N} = 1$ for an integer N . We introduce operators $S^{\pm(N)}$ as follows

$$S^{\pm(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_N \leq L} q_0^{\frac{N}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q_0^{\frac{N}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_1}^{\pm} \otimes q_0^{\frac{(N-2)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q_0^{\frac{(N-2)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \sigma_{j_2}^{\pm} \otimes q_0^{\frac{(N-4)}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{j_N}^{\pm} \otimes q_0^{-\frac{N}{2}\sigma^Z} \otimes \dots \otimes q_0^{-\frac{N}{2}\sigma^Z}. \quad (1)$$

The operators $S^{\pm(N)}$ are derived from the N th power of the generators $S^{\pm}$ of the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ or $U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$. We also define $T^{(\pm)}$ by the complex conjugates of $S^{\pm(N)}$, i.e. $T^{\pm(N)} = (S^{\pm(N)})^*$. The operators, $S^{\pm(N)}$ and $T^{\pm(N)}$, generate the sl_2 loop algebra, $U(L(sl_2))$, in the sector

$$S^Z \equiv 0 \pmod{N}. \quad (2)$$

Here the value of the total spin S^Z is given by an integral multiple of N . In the sector (2), the operators $S^{\pm(N)}$ and $T^{\pm(N)}$ (anti-)commute with the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model $\tau_{6V}(v)$.

The loop algebra symmetry is also in common with the XXZ spin chain, which is one of the most significant quantum integrable systems. We note that the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix of the six-vertex model gives the Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin chain under the periodic boundary conditions:

$$H_{XXZ} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L (\sigma_j^X \sigma_{j+1}^X + \sigma_j^Y \sigma_{j+1}^Y + \Delta \sigma_j^Z \sigma_{j+1}^Z). \quad (3)$$

Here the XXZ anisotropic coupling Δ is related to the q parameter by $\Delta = (q + q^{-1})/2$. In the sector (2), the operators $S^{\pm(N)}$ and $T^{\pm(N)}$ commute with the Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin chain: [10]

$$[S^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}] = [T^{\pm(N)}, H_{XXZ}] = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let us now discuss the spectral degeneracy of the sl_2 loop algebra. In the sector (2) every Bethe ansatz eigenvector $|B\rangle$ may have the following degenerate eigenvectors

$$S^{-(N)}|B\rangle, \quad T^{-(N)}|B\rangle, \quad (S^{-(N)})^2|B\rangle, \quad T^{-(N)}S^{+(N)}T^{-(N)}|B\rangle, \dots$$

However, it is nontrivial how many of them are linearly independent. The number should explain the degree of the spectral degeneracy. Thus, we want to know the dimensions of representations generated by Bethe ansatz eigenvectors.

Fabricius and McCoy has conjectured that Bethe ansatz eigenvectors should be highest weight vectors with respect to the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry, and also that they have Drinfeld polynomials. In fact, it has been shown in the sector (2) that a regular Bethe ansatz eigenvector is highest weight [8]. Furthermore, it has been shown that if the evaluation parameters of highest weight vector Ω are distinct, the highest weight representation generated by Ω is irreducible [5]. Here we note that highest weight vectors and evaluation parameters are defined in §3.1. Thus, in the sector (2), if the evaluation parameters of

a regular Bethe state are distinct, the highest weight representation is irreducible and it has the Drinfeld polynomial by which we can determine the dimensions. However, if the evaluation parameters are not distinct, it is not trivial whether the highest weight representation is irreducible or not. We emphasize that a finite-dimensional highest weight representation is not necessarily irreducible.

In the paper, we discuss a different proof of the theorem that every finite-dimensional highest weight representation with distinct evaluation parameters is irreducible. We introduce an elementary computational scheme, and construct explicitly the basis of such a representation with distinct evaluation parameters. Furthermore, we show a general criteria for a finite-dimensional highest weight representation to be irreducible. We then discuss briefly how to apply them to the spectral degeneracy of the six-vertex model at roots of unity. Finally, we give an example of a reducible highest weight representation.

2 Loop algebra generators with parameters

We consider the classical analogue of the Drinfeld realization of the quantum sl_2 loop algebra, $U_q(L(sl_2))$. The classical analogues of the Drinfeld generators, $x_k^\pm$ and h_k ($k \in \mathbf{Z}$), satisfy the defining relations:

$$[h_j, x_k^\pm] = \pm 2x_{j+k}^\pm, \quad [x_j^+, x_k^-] = h_{j+k}, \quad \text{for } j, k \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (5)$$

Here $[h_j, h_k] = 0$ and $[x_j^\pm, x_k^\pm] = 0$ for $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let A be a set of parameters such as $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. We define generators with m parameters $x_m^\pm(A)$ and $h_m(A)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x_m^\pm(A) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k x_{m-k}^\pm \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}, \\ h_m(A) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k h_{m-k}^\pm \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In terms of generators with parameters we generalize the defining relations of the sl_2 loop algebra. Let A and B are arbitrary sets of m and n parameters, respectively. The operators with parameters satisfy the following:

$$[x_m^+(A), x_n^-(B)] = h_{m+n}(A \cup B), \quad [h_m(A), x_n^\pm(B)] = \pm 2x_{m+n}^\pm(A \cup B). \quad (7)$$

They generalize the one-parameter operators introduced in Ref. [8]. By using the relations (7), it is straightforward to show the following useful relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_\ell^+(A), (x_m^-(B))^{(n)}] &= (x_m^-(B))^{(n-1)} h_{\ell+m}(A \cup B) - x_{\ell+2m}^-(A \cup B \cup B) (x_m^-(B))^{(n-2)}, \\ [h_\ell(A), (x_m^\pm(B))^{(n)}] &= \pm 2(x_m^\pm(B))^{(n-1)} x_{\ell+m}^\pm(A \cup B). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here the symbol $(X)^{(n)}$ denotes the n th power of operator X divided by the n factorial, i.e. $(X)^{(n)} = X/n!$.

Let the symbol α denote a set of m parameters, α_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We denote by A_j the set of all the parameters except for α_j , i.e. $A_j = \alpha \setminus \{\alpha_j\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. We introduce the following symbol:

$$\rho_j(\alpha) = x_{m-1}^-(A_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (9)$$

The generators x_j^- for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, are expressed as linear combinations of $\rho_j(\alpha)$. Let us introduce $\alpha_{kj} = \alpha_k - \alpha_j$. We show the following:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\rho_j(\alpha)}{\prod_{k=1; k \neq j}^m \alpha_{kj}} = x_{m-n}^-(\{\alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_m\}) \quad (1 \leq n \leq m). \quad (10)$$

It thus follows inductively on n that x_k^- ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$) are expressed in terms of linear combinations of $\rho_j(\alpha)$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$.

3 Highest weight representations

3.1 Evaluation parameters of a highest weight vector

In a representation of $U(L(sl_2))$, we call a vector Ω a *highest weight vector* if Ω is annihilated by generators x_k^+ for all integers k and such that Ω is a simultaneous eigenvector of every generator of the Cartan subalgebra, h_k ($k \in \mathbf{Z}$) [3, 4]:

$$x_k^+ \Omega = 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (11)$$

$$h_k \Omega = d_k^+ \Omega, \quad h_{-k} \Omega = d_{-k}^- \Omega, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (12)$$

The representation generated by a highest weight vector is called a highest weight representation.

Hereafter in the paper, we assume that Ω is a highest weight vector with highest weight $d_k^\pm$ and it generates a finite-dimensional representation. We denote by V_Ω the finite-dimensional highest weight representation.

Let us consider the sl_2 -subalgebra generated by x_{-k}^+ , x_k^- and h_0 for an integer k . We denote the subalgebra by $\mathcal{U}_k$. Let r be the eigenvalue of h_0 for Ω , i.e. $h_0 \Omega = r \Omega$. It is easy to show the following:

Lemma 1. *The $\mathcal{U}_k$ -subrepresentation of V_Ω is given by the $(r+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of sl_2 , where $r = d_0^\pm$.*

For a given integer n , we define the sector of $h_0 = r - 2n$ by the vector subspace consisting of vectors $v_n \in V$ such that $\bar{h}_0 v_n = (r - 2n) v_n$.

Lemma 2. *The representation V_Ω is given by the direct sum of sectors with respect to eigenvalues of h_0 . Furthermore, every vector v_n in the sector of $h_0 = r - 2n$ is expressed as a linear combination of monomial vectors such as $x_{j_1}^- \cdots x_{j_n}^- \Omega$ with coefficients $C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$:*

$$v_n = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} C_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{t=1}^n x_{j_t}^- \Omega \quad (13)$$

Proof. It is clear from the PBW theorem. $\square$

Let us now introduce evaluation parameters for Ω . [8, 9] Using commutation relations of the loop algebra, we show that Ω is a simultaneous eigenvector of operators $(x_0^+)^{(n)}(x_1^-)^{(n)}$:

$$(x_0^+)^{(j)}(x_1^-)^{(j)}\Omega = \lambda_j\Omega, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, r. \quad (14)$$

In terms of the eigenvalues λ_k , we define a polynomial $P_\Omega(u)$ by the following relation:

$$P_\Omega(u) = \sum_{k=0}^r \lambda_k (-u)^k. \quad (15)$$

Making use of proposition 1, we show that the roots of $P_\Omega(u)$ are nonzero and finite, and the degree of $P_\Omega(u)$ is given by r . [8, 9] We note that the author learned the expression of the Drinfeld polynomial (15) from Jimbo [15] (See also [12, 14]).

Let us factorize $P_\Omega(u)$ as follows

$$P_\Omega(u) = \prod_{k=1}^s (1 - a_k u)^{m_k}, \quad (16)$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$ are distinct, and their multiplicities are given by $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s$, respectively. Then, we call a_j the *evaluation parameters* of highest weight vector Ω . We denote by $\mathbf{a}$ the set of s parameters, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$. Here we note that r is given by the sum: $r = m_1 + \dots + m_s$. We define parameters $\hat{a}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, as follows.

$$\hat{a}_i = a_k \quad \text{if } m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{k-1} < i \leq m_1 + \dots + m_{k-1} + m_k. \quad (17)$$

Then, the set $\{\hat{a}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ corresponds to the set of evaluation parameters a_j with multiplicities m_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. We denote it by $\hat{A}_\phi$.

It is easy to show the reduction relations in the following [3, 8, 9]:

Lemma 3. *In the representation V_Ω we have*

$$x_{\ell+r+1}^- \Omega = \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \lambda_{r+1-j} x_{\ell+j}^- \Omega, \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (18)$$

Here we recall $r = \bar{d}_0^\pm$ and λ_j denote the eigenvalues defined in (14).

Proof. The reduction relation (18) is derived from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x}_{-\ell}^+)^{(n)}(\bar{x}_{\ell+1}^-)^{(n+1)} &= \bar{x}_{\ell+1}^-(\bar{x}_{-\ell}^+)^{(n)}(\bar{x}_{\ell+1}^-)^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} [\bar{h}_1, (\bar{x}_{-\ell}^+)^{(n-1)}(\bar{x}_{\ell+1}^-)^{(n)}] \\ &\quad - (\bar{x}_{-\ell}^+)^{(n-1)}(\bar{x}_{\ell+1}^-)^{(n+1)}\bar{x}_{-\ell}^+, \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbf{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The relation (19) has been shown for the case of $U_q(L(sl(2)))$ [3]. $\square$

The reduction relation (18) for $\ell = -1$ is expressed as follows

$$x_r^-(\hat{A}_\phi)\Omega = 0. \quad (20)$$

Making use of the reduction relation (20), any monomial vector $x_{j_1}^- x_{j_2}^- \dots x_{j_n}^- \Omega$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\rho_{k_1}(\mathbf{a})\rho_{k_2}(\mathbf{a})\dots\rho_{k_n}(\mathbf{a})\Omega$ over some sets of integers $k_1, \dots, k_n$. Here we note the following:

Lemma 4. *If $x_n^-(A)\Omega = 0$ for some set of parameters, A , then we have $x_n^-(A \cup B)\Omega = 0$ for any set of parameters B .*

3.2 The case of distinct evaluation parameters

Let us discuss the case where all the evaluation parameters a_j have multiplicity 1, i.e. $m_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. We call it the case of distinct evaluation parameters. Here we note that $s = r$. We therefore have

$$x_s^-(\mathbf{a})\Omega = 0. \quad (21)$$

Hereafter, we denote by $a_j^{\otimes m}$ the set of parameter a_j with multiplicity m , i.e. $a_j^{\otimes m} = \{a_j, a_j, \dots, a_j\}$. For instance, we have

$$x_s^\pm(a_j^{\otimes s}) = \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{s!}{(s-k)!k!} (-a_j)^k x_{s-k}^\pm. \quad (22)$$

In the case of $s = 1$, we write $x_1^\pm(a_j^{\otimes 1})$ simply as $x_1^\pm(a_j)$.

Lemma 5. *If all evaluation parameters $\hat{a}_j$ are distinct ($m_j = 1$ for all j), we have*

$$(\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^2 \Omega = 0 \quad (23)$$

Proof. First, we show

$$x_0^+(\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^2 \Omega = 0. \quad (24)$$

From eq. (8) we have

$$x_0^+(\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^{(2)}\Omega = x_{s-1}^-(A_j)h_{s-1}(A_j)\Omega - x_{2s-2}^-(A_j \cup A_j)\Omega$$

In terms of $a_{kj} = a_k - a_j$, we have $h_{s-1}(A_j)\Omega = \prod_{k \neq j} a_{kj} \Omega$, and using eq. (21) and lemma 4 we have

$$x_{2s-2}^-(A_j \cup A_j)\Omega = \prod_{k \neq j} a_{kj} x_{s-1}^-(A_j)\Omega.$$

We thus obtain eq. (24). Secondly, we apply $(x_0^+)^{(r-1)}(x_1^-(a_j))^{(r-1)}$ to $(\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^2\Omega$. The product is given by zero since it is out of the sectors of V_Ω due to the fact that $(r-1)+2 > r$:

$$(x_0^+)^{(r-1)}(x_1^-(a_j))^{(r-1)} (\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^2 \Omega = 0.$$

Here the left-hand-side is given by

$$\rho_j(\mathbf{a})^2 (x_0^+)^{(r-1)}(x_1^-(a_j))^{(r-1)} \Omega = \prod_{k=1; k \neq j}^r a_{kj} \times (\rho_j(\mathbf{a}))^2 \Omega$$

Since $a_{kj} \neq 0$ for $k \neq j$, we obtain eq. (23). $\square$

Lemma 6. *In the sector $h_0 = r - 2n$ of V_Ω , every vector v_n is expressed as follows:*

$$v_n = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq s} C_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{t=1}^n \rho_{j_t}(\mathbf{a}) \Omega \quad (25)$$

If v_n is zero, all the coefficients $C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$ are given by zero.

Proof. By the definition of $\rho_j(\mathbf{a})$, any vector in the sector is expressed as a sum over $\rho_{j_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cdots \rho_{j_n}(\mathbf{a}) \Omega$. We multiply both sides of eq. (25) with $(x_\ell(a_i^{\otimes \ell}))^{(n)}$, and use the Vandermonde determinant whose (i, ℓ) entry is given by $(a_{j_1 i} \cdots a_{j_n i})^\ell$ to show that if $v_n = 0$, all the coefficients $C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$ are zero. $\square$

We have from lemma 5 and lemma 6 the next proposition:

Proposition 1. *If evaluation parameters $\hat{a}_j$ of Ω are distinct, the set of vectors $\prod_{t=1}^n \rho_{j_t}(\mathbf{a}) \Omega$ for $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq s$ gives a basis of the sector $h_0 = r - 2n$ of V_Ω .*

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a highest weight vector with distinct evaluation parameters $a_1, \dots, a_r$. The representation generated by Ω is irreducible.*

Proof. We show that every nonzero vector of V_Ω has such an element of the loop algebra that maps it to Ω . Suppose that there is a nonzero vector v_n in the sector $h_0 = r - 2n$ of V_Ω that has no such element. Then, we have

$$x_{k_1}^+ \cdots x_{k_n}^+ v_n = 0 \quad (26)$$

for all monomial elements $x_{k_1}^+ \cdots x_{k_n}^+$. Let us express v_n in terms of the basis vectors $\rho_{j_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cdots \rho_{j_n}(\mathbf{a}) \Omega$ with coefficients $C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$ such as shown in eq. 25. Then, by the same argument as in lemma 6 using the Vandermonde determinant, we show from eq. (26) that all the coefficients $C_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$ vanish. However, this contradicts with the assumption that v_n is nonzero. It therefore follows that v_n has such an element that maps it to Ω . We thus obtain the theorem. $\square$

3.3 The case of degenerate evaluation parameters

Let us discuss a general criteria for a finite-dimensional highest weight representation to be irreducible.

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be a highest weight vector that has evaluation parameters a_j with multiplicities m_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. We denote by $\mathbf{a}$ the set of evaluation parameters, i.e. $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$, and by V_Ω the representation generated by Ω . Then, V_Ω is irreducible if and only if $x_s^-(\mathbf{a})\Omega = 0$.*

We prove it by generalizing the proof of theorem 1. However, we shall discuss the derivation in the next report [9].

Let us discuss an application of theorem 2 for the degenerate case. It plays an important role when we consider the spectral degeneracy of the XXZ spin chain at roots of

unity associated with the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry. It has been shown that a regular Bethe ansatz eigenvector $|R\rangle$ in the sector (2) is a highest weight vector [8]. However, if the evaluation parameters of the regular Bethe state $|R\rangle$ are not distinct, it is nontrivial whether the highest weight representation $V_{|R\rangle}$ is irreducible or not. Suppose that we have the following relation for $|R\rangle$:

$$x_s^-(\mathbf{a})|R\rangle = 0, \quad (27)$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ denotes the set of evaluation parameters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$ of the highest weight vector $|R\rangle$. Then, it follows from theorem 2 that the highest weight representation $V_{|R\rangle}$ is irreducible.

In the most general cases, however, it is not clear whether the condition (27) holds for all the regular Bethe eigenstates in the sector (2). In order to discuss the degenerate multiplicity of the sl_2 loop algebra symmetry of the XXZ spin chain, it is thus important to know the dimensions of reducible finite-dimensional highest weight representations. We propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Let Ω be a highest weight vector with evaluation parameters $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_r$. If $x_{r-1}^-(\hat{A}_j)\Omega \neq 0$ for all j , the representation V_Ω generated by Ω is reducible and indecomposable, and it has the dimensions 2^r . Here $\hat{A}_j$ denote the set $\hat{A}_\phi \setminus \{\hat{a}_j\}$ with $\hat{A}_\phi = \{\hat{a}_j | j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$.*

For an illustration of reducible indecomposable highest weight representations, let us consider the case of $r = 3$ with $m_1 = 2$ and $m_2 = 1$. In this case, the highest weight vector Ω has evaluation parameters, $\hat{a}_1 = a_1$, $\hat{a}_2 = a_1$ and $\hat{a}_3 = a_2$, i.e. $\hat{A}_\phi = \{a_1, a_1, a_2\}$. Here we recall lemma 2. The highest weight representation V_Ω has four sectors of $h_0 = 3, 1, -1, 3$, respectively. Let us introduce some symbols.

$$\rho_1 = x_1^-(a_2), \quad \rho_2 = x_1^-(a_1), \quad w_1 = x_2^-(a_1, a_2). \quad (28)$$

Here $x_2^-(a_1, a_2)$ denotes $x_2^-(B)$ with $B = \{a_1, a_2\}$. It is easy to show from the reduction relation, $x_3^-(\hat{A}_\phi)\Omega = 0$, that $x_n^-\Omega$ is expressed in terms of $\rho_1\Omega$, $\rho_2\Omega$, and $w_1\Omega$ as follows.

$$x_n^-\Omega = \frac{a_1^n}{a_{12}} \rho_1\Omega + \frac{a_2^n}{a_{21}} \rho_2\Omega + \left(\frac{na_1^{n-1}}{a_{12}} - \frac{a_1^n - a_2^n}{a_{12}} \right) w_1\Omega \quad (29)$$

We now have

$$x_n^+ w_1\Omega = 0, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (30)$$

Therefore, it follows that the representation V_Ω is reducible and indecomposable if $w_1\Omega \neq 0$. By a similar argument with lemma 5 we show

$$\rho_1^3\Omega \neq 0, \quad \rho_1^4\Omega = 0; \quad \rho_2\Omega \neq 0, \quad \rho_2^2\Omega = 0; \quad w_1^2\Omega = 0. \quad (31)$$

We also show

$$\rho_1 w_1\Omega = 0, \quad (32)$$

and $\rho_2 w_1 \Omega \neq 0$ if $w_1 \Omega \neq 0$. It thus follows that the four sectors of V_Ω have the following sets of basis vectors:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Omega, & \text{for } h_0 = 3; \\ \rho_1 \Omega, \quad \rho_2 \Omega, \quad w_1 \Omega, & \text{for } h_0 = 1; \\ \rho_1^2 \Omega, \quad \rho_1 \rho_2 \Omega, \quad \rho_2 w_1 \Omega, & \text{for } h_0 = -1; \\ \rho_1^3 \Omega, & \text{for } h_0 = -3. \end{array}$$

Consequently, we have the following result:

Proposition 2. *The highest weight representation with three evaluation parameters a_1, a_1, a_2 is reducible, indecomposable and of 2^3 dimensions, if and only if $w_1 \Omega \neq 0$. It is irreducible and of 6 dimensions, if and only if $w_1 \Omega = 0$.*

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the organizers for their kind invitation to the international workshop “Recent Advances in Quantum Integrable Systems”, September 6-9, 2005, LAPTH, Annecy-le-Vieux, France. He would also like to thank many participants of the conference for useful comments and discussions. This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid (No. 17540351).

References

- [1] D. Arnaudon and V. Rittenberg, Quantum chains with $U_q(SL(2))$ symmetry and unrestricted representations, *Phys. Lett. B* **306** (1993) 86–90 .
- [2] R. J. Baxter, Completeness of the Bethe ansatz for the six and eight vertex models, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (2002) 1–48.
- [3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum Affine Algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991) 261–283.
- [4] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum Affine Algebras at Roots of Unity, *Representation Theory* **1** (1997) 280–328.
- [5] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras, *Representation Theory* **5** (2001) 191–223.
- [6] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki and T. Miwa, Generalized chiral Potts models and minimal cyclic representations of $U_q(\hat{gl}(n, \mathbf{C}))$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991) 133–147.
- [7] C. De Concini and V. Kac, Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1, in *Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras and Invariant Theory*, A. Connes, M. Duflo, A. Joseph and R. Rentschler (eds), (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990) pp. 471–506.

- [8] T. Deguchi, *XXZ Bethe states as highest weight vectors of the sl_2 loop algebra at roots of unity*. (cond-mat/0503564, 2nd version)
- [9] T. Deguchi, Dimensions of highest weight representations of the sl_2 loop algebra, in preparation.
- [10] T. Deguchi, K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, The sl_2 Loop Algebra Symmetry of the Six-Vertex Model at Roots of Unity, *J. Stat. Phys.* **102** (2001) 701–736.
- [11] V.G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras, *Soviet Math. Doklady* **36** (1988) 212–216.
- [12] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, Bethe’s Equation Is Incomplete for the XXZ Model at Roots of Unity, *J. Stat. Phys.* **103**(2001) 647–678.
- [13] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, Completing Bethe’s Equations at Roots of Unity, *J. Stat. Phys.* **104**(2001) 573–587.
- [14] K. Fabricius and B. M. McCoy, Evaluation Parameters and Bethe Roots for the Six-Vertex Model at Roots of Unity, in *Progress in Mathematical Physics Vol. 23 (MathPhys Odyssey 2001)*, edited by M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002) 119–144.
- [15] M. Jimbo, private communication, July 2004.
- [16] G. Lusztig, Modular representations and quantum groups, *Contemp. Math.* **82** (1989) 59–77.
- [17] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993).
- [18] V. Pasquier and H. Saleur, Common structures between finite systems and conformal field theories through quantum groups, *Nucl. Phys. B* **330** (1990) 523–556.
- [19] P. Roche and D. Arnaudon, Irreducible representations of the quantum analogue of $SU(2)$, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17** (1989) 295–300.
- [20] V. O. Tarasov, Cyclic Monodromy matrices for the R -matrix of the six-vertex model and the chiral Potts model with fixed spin boundary conditions, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** Suppl. **1B** (1992) 963–975.